Generalised Discount Functions applied to a Monte-Carlo AI μ Implementation

Sean Lamont¹, John Aslanides¹, Jan Leike² and Marcus Hutter¹ ¹Research School of Computer Science, Australian National University. ²Google Deepmind, London; Future of Humanity Institute, Oxford

Objectives

- Experimentally reproduce behaviour characteristic of agents using generalised discount functions.
- Modify the GRL demonstration platform AIXIJS to facilitate this, and demonstrate these results in a simple MDP.

Motivation

- General Reinforcement Learning (GRL) : Domain independent Reinforcement Learning agents
- Many theoretical results proven for GRL, but few examples demonstraring these in a concrete setting
- Our Goal: Use the platform AIXIjs to experimentally verify theoretical results regarding general discount functions

Background

Samuelson's [3] standard model of discounted utility:

$$V_k := \sum_{t=k}^{\infty} \gamma_{t-k} r_t$$

Where γ is the discount function, and r_t is the reward at time t.

Hutter and Lattimore [2] extend this to include discount functions which can change over time. This generalised model allows for policies which:

- Are **time inconsistent**, where actions may not always align with previous plans.
- Cause future rewards to become relatively more desirable as time progresses (from a **growing**) effective horizon)

The discount functions we investigate are:

Hyperbolic Discounting: γ_t^k = $\frac{1}{(1+\kappa(t-k))^{\beta}}$ Thought to model human discounting, and explains many irrational (time inconsistent) behaviours. $t^{-\beta}$ **Discounting**: Power γ_t^{κ} \equiv Time consistent, causes a growing effective horizon.

AIXIjs

- Online, JavaScript based platform showcasing theoretical results from GRL in Gridworld environments. 1
- **Open Source**, allows researchers to add and modify demos as necessary
- Adapted to include arbitrary discount functions, and to include a simple MDP assessing agent far-sightedness.
- We derive the number of time inconsistent actions by recording the MCTS plan and comparing this with future actions.

AIXIjs Source Code/ Web Page

- Source: https://github.com/aslanides/aixijs
- Web Page: http://aslanides.io/aixijs/
- Also: http://www.hutter1.net/aixijs/

Key Results

- We observed the impact of a growing effective horizon when using power discounting, which resulted in a change in policy over time
- We observed time inconsistent agent behaviour (procrastination) under hyperbolic discounting

AI μ with ρ UCT Monte-Carlo

- We use the informed agent $AI\mu$ for our experiments.
- Knows the true environment dynamics a priori, eliminating any uncertainty in the agents model
- In combination with a deterministic MDP, ensures any observed change in behaviour is from the discount function, as opposed to any stochasticity in the environment/model.
- ρ UCT [4] Monte-Carlo Tree Search used to approximate expectimax
- UCT would suffice, though AIXI has ρ UCT as default



• a_2 (Squiggly Line): Return very large reward $r_L > Nr_I$ only if the agent follows a_2 for N consecutive steps. Return 0 reward r_0 otherwise.

If the agent is far-sighted enough, it will ignore the low instant reward and plan ahead to reach the very large reward every N time steps.

Environment

Figure 1: MDP Used for Discounting Experiments

This environment gives the agent 2 actions:

• a_1 (Straight Line): Return a small reward r_I every time a_1 is taken

Results: Reward Plot



Please feel free to contact me about any questions regarding this project.

Email: sean.a.lamont@outlook.com

sity, 2016. 1937. 2011



Figure 2: Reward Plot for Discounting Experiments

Summary of Results

• The agent plan enumeration gave time consistent results for all trials of power discounting, and for $\kappa \neq 1.8$ with hyperbolic discounting

• For $\kappa = 1.8$, the agent plan enumeration showed the agent was consistently planning to take the far sighted policy 1 step in the future, yet remained on the instant reward state.

• By observing the graph, we see that the agent using power discounting changed to a far-sighted policy around step 100. This is directly caused by the growing effective horizon.

Contact Details

References

[1] J Aslanides. AIXIjs: A software demo for general reinforcement learning, Australian National Univer-

[2] T. Lattimore and M. Hutter. General time consistent discounting. Theoretical Computer Science, 519:140-154, 2014.

[3] P. Samuelson. A note on measurement of utility. The Review of Economic Studies, 4(2): 155-161,

[4] J. Veness, M. Hutter, W. Uther, D. Silver, and K. S. Ng. A Monte-Carlo AIXI Approximation. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research 40: 95-142,

Australian

Jniversity

National